



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/645,361	08/21/2003	Max P. Gassman	P06237US00	7467		
22885	7590 03/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MCKEE, VO	OORHEES & SEASI	ROSENBAUM, MARK				
801 GRAND	AVENUE			`		
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DES MOINE	S, IA 50309-2721	3725				

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	135	٦,	
l:	١,		_

SN

			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/645,36	31	GASSMAN, MAX	P.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Mark Ros		3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sisons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.13 nication. days, a reply utory period wi ill, by statute,	6(a). In no ever within the state ill apply and wi cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b	o)⊠ This	action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	or allowan	ce except	for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex</i>	x parte Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdraw							
Application	on Papers								
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action	ocuments ocuments the priori al Bureau	have been have been ty docume (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ints have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	7-9481		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/21/03</u> .	TO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,361

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,5,11,14,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karg. This patent discloses a chipper shredder including a roller used to shred the material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,7-10,12,13,16,17,21,22,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karg. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious design choices or are well known in the art. For example, the torque used would depend on several factors such as material being treated and desired end results. Also, biasing members to prevent jams is well known in the art and of no patentable merit.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,361 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

Finally, the use of different teeth is known to provide for different shredding functions on a single roller.

Claims 6,15,23,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karg as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Morey. Karg does not have a reversible motor as a safety feature. Morey solves this problem by showing similar apparatus including the use of a reversible motor. In order to provide a safety feature, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Karg by providing a reversible motor, taught to be desirable by Morey.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR